

**To:** Swann, Veronica[Swann.Veronica@epa.gov]; Seter, David[Seter.David@epa.gov]; Ebbert, Laura[Ebbert.Laura@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Rodriguez, Dante[Rodriguez.Dante@epa.gov]  
**From:** Cynthia Ocegüera  
**Sent:** Wed 9/21/2016 9:26:56 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: FW: Negotiate GAP Online Work Plan

Hello,

Please advise and give assistance or direction in regards to what I am doing that may be Superfund work and not GAP eligible, yet are also correlated since I am the responsible party for both.

The email I received this am both commitments were drop downs from the GAP online which state work plan is baseline purpose only. I have a disconnect since during the August site visit we toured both the Walker Lake and Wabuska Drain area which have contamination present and need to be evaluated and assessed, hence the need to hire consultant who has the expertise and whom I supplied water samples to for testing.

To add to my comments, I discussed both of these items and need clear direction and communication because I have continued to do fieldwork with USGS, NDEP, BLM, and the Department of Agriculture doing air and water samples, land assessment to build the data base which presently is non existent for the Wabuska drain Anaconda OU7 for Walker River. I asked if this could be on the work plan for 2017.

At the site visit in August, we toured the property in question as well as Walker River which has contamination which may also be from the Geothermal spill hat took place in January. Walker River (me) happened to be notified by NDEP the end of April. At which time I notified EPA.

I have continued to have discussions in regards to the water quality which is from the Wabuska Drain and the 119 million gallons of spent geothermal water from their impoundment has still not been assessed. To add to the factual data released and shared, approximately 123 acre-feet (40.1 million gallons reached Wabuska Drain which flows to the Walker River. I have several pictures of this incident to validate my concerns.

I am not certain how the division of these issues from GAP to Superfund needs to be separated for my tasks so I would be happy to comply.

I will only be available this afternoon to complete tasks since we have Friday and Monday off. I do not know how to communicate clearer for how Superfund tasks should be divided from GAP when the GAP Online has the dropdown box to select baseline data which I have arranged partners to be included with our plans here at Walker River.

Prompt response is appreciated.

Thank you,

Cynthia Ocegüera  
Walker River Paiute Tribe  
Environmental GAP Manager

----- Forwarded message -----

From: <[cynthiao@wrpt.us](mailto:cynthiao@wrpt.us)>  
Date: Wed, Sep 21, 2016 at 1:51 PM  
Subject: FW: Negotiate GAP Online Work Plan  
To: [cynthiaocegüera8@gmail.com](mailto:cynthiaocegüera8@gmail.com)

-----Original Message-----

From: [swann.veronica@epa.gov](mailto:swann.veronica@epa.gov) [mailto:[swann.veronica@epa.gov](mailto:swann.veronica@epa.gov)]  
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2016 4:22 PM  
To: [cynthiao@wrpt.us](mailto:cynthiao@wrpt.us)  
Cc: [swann.veronica@epa.gov](mailto:swann.veronica@epa.gov)  
Subject: Negotiate GAP Online Work Plan

This is an auto-generated message. Please do not reply to this message.

Regional Project Officer VSwann(Veronica Swann) has returned your work plan. Please review the Project Officer's comments within the work plan.

Comments from your Project Officer: Monitoring for Air and Water is not eligible. Please remove these. Please add commitments to your components.